

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Adies (CommissionEr: FPATENTS AND TRADEMARKS 200 Fee 1479 Alexandra, Maria: 22213-148) www.nepo.dov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09 932,287	08.17.2001	Stefan Fuchs	5085-14	4733	
~ 3	05 21 2003				
Klaus P. Stoffel, Esq.			EXAMINER		
1180 Avenue of			ROY, SIKHA		
New York, NY 10036-8403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 05/21-2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
2		09/932,287	FUCHS ET AL.	•
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sikha Roy	2879	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ess
Failu - Exter atter - fithe - if NO - Failu - Any r - earns	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1 136(a) In no event, however, may atton ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the process of the statutory minimum of the process of the application to become	a reply be timely filed mirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this com	munication
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o			
2a)⊡ —		This action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	allowance except for formal m under <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
4)⊡	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the appl	ication.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊡	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10)[<u>·</u>] T	he drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2003			
	Applicant may not request that any objection		yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
40) 🗀 🖚	If approved, corrected drawings are required			
	he oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) <u></u> ⊵	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docu			
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for 	ial Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do			plication).
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language the translation of the foreign language the translation for do	ge provisional application has b	peen received.	,
ttachment(•		
?) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	(8) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-19)	

Application/Control Number: 09/932,287

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment, filed on February 24, 2003, has been entered and overcomes the rejection of claims 1-3,5, 6,14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), and claims 4,7-13,15,16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Drawings

The corrected or substitute drawings were received on February 24, 2003. These drawings are entered.

The drawing in Fig. 1 is objected to because the spacers positioning the heating conductor 4 should be labeled as 4c according to the disclosure in specification page 9 line 3.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2879

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Referring to claim 1, the limitation reciting 'when seen in <u>cross section</u>, <u>describes</u> a closed line completely around a <u>surface</u>' is indefinite because it fails to particularly point out which <u>cross section</u> and <u>surface</u> are being referred to. Regarding cross section, the metallic reflector being a three dimensional body has different cross section in transverse and longitudinal directions. The cross section of the reflector is circular or in closed form, only in a direction transverse to the axis of the tube. Furthermore the <u>closed line completely around a surface</u> is vague and fails to distinctly claim the subject matter.

Claims 2-16 are rejected being dependent on the base claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 1 the prior art of record neither shows nor suggests an infrared radiator with all the limitations as claimed, particularly the limitation comprising the metallic reflector having the reflecting surface completely lined around the channel transporting the liquid coolant.

Art Unit: 2879

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikha Roy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2826. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

5.8

Sikha Roy Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879

MIMESHKUMAR (), PAFE, RUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800